

James E. Cross, Bar No. 009063  
Nathan A. Finch, Esq. #031279  
**CROSS LAW FIRM, P.L.C.**  
7301 N. 16<sup>th</sup> St., Suite 102  
Phoenix, Arizona 85020  
PO Box 45469  
Phoenix, AZ 85064  
Ofc: (602) 412-4422  
Email: [jcross@crosslawaz.com](mailto:jcross@crosslawaz.com)  
*Counsel for Timothy Shaffer, Chapter 7 Trustee*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

In re:  
  
POTENTIAL DYNAMIX, LLC,  
  
Debtor.

Chapter 7

Case No. 2:11-bk-28944-DPC

**CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE'S RESPONSE TO  
RECEIVER'S MOTION TO STRIKE  
OMNIBUS OBJECTION**

Hearing Date: November 16, 2023

Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.

Location: Courtroom 603

Timothy H. Shaffer, the Chapter 7 Trustee appointed herein, ("Trustee"), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby files his Response to the Receiver's Motion to Strike Omnibus Objection (the "Response"), and states as follows:

**I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

1. The Debtor filed its voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on October 13, 2011.

2. Timothy Shaffer was appointed Chapter 11 trustee in this case by Order of this Court dated January 27, 2012 (dkt. 110).

3. On September 15, 2022, Gallagher & Kennedy ("G&K") filed its *First Interim Application for Compensation and Reimbursement of Fees for Gallagher & Kennedy, Attorneys for the Chapter 11 Trustee* (Dkt. 673), requesting approval of fees in the amount of \$1,603,367.00 and costs of \$297,392.54, for a total interim request of \$1,900,759.54 (the "G&K Claim"). The Trustee filed his *Conditional Objection to First Interim Application for*

1 *Compensation and Reimbursement of Fees for Gallagher & Kennedy* on October 14, 2022 (the  
2 “Conditional Objection”) (Dkt. 690). In the Trustee’s Conditional Objection, the Trustee  
3 specifically objected to any and all fees and costs paid to Serena Morones, the expert in the  
4 Amazon Adversary, in the approximate amount of \$607,588.74. The Trustee also objected to  
5 G&K’s stated position that the Amazon contingent fee does not share pro rata with other  
6 administrative claimants.

7  
8 4. On October 7, 2022, David Reaves, the court-appointed Receiver for SW, filed the  
9 Third Application for Approval and Reimbursement of Expenses as Former Counsel to Chapter  
10 11 Trustee (Dkt. 682), seeking approval for the reimbursement of costs and expenses incurred on  
11 the Trustee’s behalf in the amount of \$463,459.65 (the “SW Receiver Claim”). The Trustee filed  
12 his Conditional Objection to the SW Receiver Claim on October 28, 2022 (Dkt. 710), stating his  
13 objection to any and all expenses related to the fees and costs paid to Serena Morones, the expert  
14 in the Adversary Proceeding, which the Court found to be completely non-credible, and the  
15 Trustee believes did not benefit the Estate.

16  
17 5. On January 27, 2023, Trustee filed his Motion for Immediate Conversion to Chapter 7  
18 (the “Motion to Convert”), due to the fact that this case is hopelessly administratively insolvent. A  
19 hearing was held on the Motion to Convert on January 31, 2023, at which time the conversion of  
20 this case to Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code was ordered (Dkt. 742). The Motion to Convert was  
21 unopposed.

22 6. On February 1, 2023, Mr. Shaffer was appointed as the Chapter 7 trustee for this estate.

23  
24 7. Upon conversion of the case, this Court issued the *Notice of Chapter 7 Bankruptcy*  
25 *Case – Proof of Claim Deadline Set* (Dkt. 756), setting the deadline for filing proofs of claim for  
26 April 11, 2023.

1           8. On March 7, 2023, Ashworth filed its *First and Final Application for Allowance of*  
2 *Compensation of Consulting Expert Ashworth Consulting*, seeking compensation in the amount  
3 of \$650,553.22 for its services in the Amazon Adversary (the “Ashworth Claim”) (Dkt. 774).  
4 The Trustee filed his Objection to the Ashworth Claim on June 5, 2023 (Dkt. 795), based on the  
5 fact that Trustee believes that Ashworth’s fees were not in line with the results obtained in the  
6 Amazon Adversary, and that some or all of the hours expended by Ashworth were not  
7 reasonably calculated to benefit the Estate.

8  
9           9. On September 9, 2023, the Trustee filed *Trustee's Omnibus Objection to Certain*  
10 *Administrative Claims and Proposal for Distribution from Chapter 7 Estate* at docket no.. 843  
11 (“Omnibus Objection”).

12           10. On October 6, 2023, the Receiver for SW filed the *Receiver's Motion to Strike*  
13 *Trustee's Omnibus Objection* (“Motion to Strike”) (Dkt. 848).

14           11. On November 3, 2023, G&K filed a joinder in the Receiver’s Motion to Strike (Dkt.  
15 860).

## 16           **II. RESPONSE TO MOTION TO STRIKE**

17  
18           The Omnibus Objection was specifically designed to provide a clear basis for each of the  
19 previously filed objections to be properly considered in context with each other. The tree of each  
20 of these claims comes from the same root individual attorneys who performed services that were  
21 at minimum deficient and to the detriment of the estate. Further, these same individuals through  
22 various entities continue to fight amongst each other to the detriment of their former client.

23  
24           Rather than address the legal and factual basis that underlies the Trustee’s objections to  
25 the claims filed, both the Receiver for SW and Gallagher and Kennedy seek to have this  
26 argument struck on procedural grounds.

1 The Receiver for SW correctly states that the joinder of separate claims is not allowed  
2 without order of the court.

3 First, as fully briefed in the Omnibus Objection, these claims are inextricably intertwined.  
4 It is frankly sophistry to insist that the claims in question could be analyzed separately. The very  
5 same individuals, Dale Schain, Scott Goldberg, and Cody Jess would be the same witnesses  
6 providing the same testimony in an evidentiary hearing on each claim. Second, the Receiver for  
7 SW neglects to mention that each of these claims were previously objected to individually. Each  
8 entity has clear notice of the fact of the Trustee's objection, and with the Omnibus Objection  
9 each entity has a clear understanding of the Trustee's basis for such an objection.  
10

### 11 **III. CONCLUSION**

12 Rather than strike the Omnibus Objection, this Court must order joinder of these claims  
13 in one hearing as allowed by Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c). This joinder will promote judicial  
14 efficiency and avoid inequitable outcomes by trying the issues around each claim separately.  
15

16 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2023.

17 **CROSS LAW FIRM, P.L.C.**

18 /s/ James Cross (#9063)

19 James E. Cross

Counsel for Timothy Shaffer, Chapter 7 Trustee

20 Original filed and Copies of the foregoing  
21 served electronically via the Court's  
22 CM/ECF Notification System this 6<sup>th</sup> day of  
23 November, 2023, on all parties that have  
24 appeared in the case.  
25  
26  
27  
28